

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

U

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/238,224	01/27/1999	MARK CHARLES BEUTNAGEL	BEUTNAGLE-3-	6579
7.	590 07/16/2003			
HENRY T BRENDZEL			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 574 SPRINGFIELI	D, NJ 07081		OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	16
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/238,224	BEUTNEGAL ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1	03 10					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 244						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	recognition as to the marits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7,10 and 13-34 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10 and 13-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 23-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As per independent claims 23 and 32 (and any applicable dependent claims from these independent claims), the phrases containing "parameter information tuples N", "N tuples", "parameter tuples", are nowhere to be found in the written description of the specification, and therefore is not reasonably conveyed to one skilled in the art at the time the application was filed had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2655

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-5,7,10,13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al (5970459) in view of Campbell et al (6366883).

As per claims 1,18-22, <u>Yang et al (5970459)</u> teaches inserting said signal a plurality of phonemes by phoneme symbols (as phoneme symbols col. 1 lines 35-40); inserting in said signal a desired duration of each of said phoneme symbols (col. 4 line 60-66); a third step including at least one of said phonemes at a time offset from the beginning of the duration of said phoneme that is greater than zero less than the duration of said phoneme (as offset adjustments of the time duration of the phonemes – col. 5 lines 1-12). Furthermore, <u>Yang et al (5970459)</u> teaches at least two prosody parameter specification towards a target value (col. 4 lines 60-67). Lee et al does not explicitly teach any selected point in time for reaching said target value, however, <u>Campbell et al (6366883)</u> teaches a selected point in time for reaching the target value (col. 16 line 14 – col. 17 line 23). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech processing to modify the teachings of Lee et al with "any <u>selected</u> point in time" for reaching the target value because it would advantageously approximate costs between target and candidate phonemes (<u>Campbell et al (6366883)</u>, col. 2 lines 40-49).

As per claims 2, 15, <u>Yang et al (5970459)</u> teaches pitch parameters (col. 4 line 65) As per claims 3, 16, Yang et al (5970459) teaches energy parameters (col. 4 line 65)

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 4, 17, <u>Yang et al (5970459)</u> teaches target values for both pitch and energy (col. 4 lines 63-67)

As per claim 5, Yang et al (5970459) teaches either one of said at least two prosody specs specifies an energy (col. 4 lines 53-67)

As per claim 7, Yang et al (5970459) teaches target specs in terms of offsets from the boundaries (col. 2, lines 55-65), wherein the boundary start is determined on the phoneme level (col. 4 lines 53-67)

As per claim 10, Yang et al (5970459) teaches text (col. 3 lines 30-35)

As per claim 13, Yang et al (5970459) teaches image (col. 3 lines 30-35)

As per claim 14, Yang et al (5970459) teaches offset (col. 5 lines 14-20)

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 7/6/03

DORIS H. TO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500